From: Mazzella, Mark

Sent: Wed May 05 18:18:22 2010

To: Dupree, James H Cc: Patteson, Mark R

Subject: Junk-shot Peer Review

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Terms-of-Reference -Junk-shot, Bullhead and Momentum Kill Peer Review Final.doc

As requested, please find the attached subject TOR. Once agreeded upon, please forward your approval for communication to the relevant team participants. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me directly. Regards,

Mark Mazzella

bp -EPT

Well Control

501 Westlake Park Blvd. Houston, TX 77079

13.192C

Off: 281 366 2000 Fax: 281 366 7356 Direct: 281 366 2780 Cell: 281 744 9914 mark.mazzella@bp.com

<<...>>

The information contained in this e-mail (including any attachments) is CONFIDENTIAL and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. It is solely intended for the addressee(s) only. Access to this email by anyone other than the addressee(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, retain, copy, distribute, rely on, or disclose the content of this electronic transmission. If you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by return e-mail or at (281) 366-2780 and delete from your computer.





The purpose of this document is to briefly describe a proposed Assistance Team to peer review program(s) in support of the above titled objectives, which will provide synergy between industry expertise and operational teams and reduce reactive risks.

Project Description	Junk-shot, Bullhead and Momentum Kill Peer Review - Participate in an overview of presented information and resources required for successful implementation of titled objectives and to assist with the identification of risks associated with prescribed program. Additionally, identify any support or considerations which may be required. Provide constructive feedback to BP through an engaged participation with industry experts assembled from various well control points of view.		
Scope	Function - Assembly of a multi disciplined team to review an assimilation of information in support of the Project Description. The Peer Review Team will assist with identification of resources and programs required to progress successful completion of the tilted program. This information will be collected and communicated to BP leadership and planning teams. Using these resources will facilitate:		
	Clarity of potential leak paths Understanding of delivery system Pumping materials and fluids Placement methodology Contingencies Risks Mitigations Next steps Testing and assurance Equipment requirements The combined efforts of the (peer review team) will identify and communicate success		
Value of Success	and failure conditions, risks and steps to mitigate. Collective effort of industry experience with direct knowledge of program initiatives.		
	An assurance this program will not make well conditions worse thus causing harm to people additional damage to the environment or an inability to carry out additional remediation programs.		
	The greater gain may be realized in sharing resource knowledge, collaboration using best practices, and ultimately standardized practices and systems to facilitate mitigation of any risk.		
	Provide assurance to BP that this program is properly designed and planned using best in class engineering, materials and expertise.		
Sponsor Needs	Assurance – Agreed areas of synergy are being fulfilled with the review of this program thus leveraging combined resources to achieve successful control of the MC 252 well control event.		
	Guidance – Execution methodology and way forward.		
	Risk – Identification of issues which will jeopardize additional remediation programs.		
	Operational Alignment – Contingent with the implementation of this program several considerations must be addressed to ensure well control success. While maintaining the objectives of this well control effort and with complete interaction with the on going initiatives currently developing, considerations must be aligned to ensure synergy throughout the well control remediation programs. I.e. containment operations, relief well.		

[AutoDate] 1 Ver. 1.0



Junk-shot, Bullhead and Momentum Kill Peer Review Terms of Reference

Key Participants	BP	Industry Experts
	Mark Patteson - BP	Danny Clayton - Boot & Coots
	Mark Mazzella - BP	Bud Curtis - Boots & Coots
	Charlie Holt - BP	Chris Scarbrough - Boots& Coots
	Bill Kirton - BP	Paul Saulnier - Cudd Well Control
	Mike Cleary - BP	Tim Bell - Cudd Well Control
	Jon Sprague - BP	Steve Winters - Great White Well Control
	Phil Pattillo - BP	Ronnie Roles - Great White Well Control
	Mike Cushman - BP	Dr. John Smith - LSU
	Gary Wolf - BP	Dr. Tad Patzek - UT
		Dr. Steven Holditch - T A&M
		Neal Adams - Well Control Specialist
		Preston Moore - Well Control Expert
		Bill Muchison - Murchison Drilling
		Paul Sonnemann - Chevron
		David Moody - Wild Well Control
		Pat Campbell - Wild Well Control
		Bobby Hohon - Exxon Mobil
		Ted Bourgoyne - LSU Alumni
		Albertok Cavawni - EMI
		Les Skinner - Shell (Pending)
High Level Risks (Those risks that could directly impact well control success)	Broaching – Encroachment of effluent plume originating from various positions of the seabed to surface locations of relief wells. Direct hazards to rig personnel and objective success. Subsurface – Relief well interference. Formation instability and control issues at the 22" (18" csg) hole section. Equipment Failure – Over pressurization of ancillary equipment due to subsequent plugging of additional lower pressure rated equipment. Plugging of delivery systems and hydrates.	

[AutoDate] 2 Ver. 1.0