BP has suggested [BP’s Preliminary Response to the Flow Rate and Volume Estimates. Continental Oil Working Paper No. 3, October 2010] that the original estimates did not account for the erosion during the early period, but that this would yield an increasing flow with time. However, the results, including the BOP pressure, are consistent with expectations of the reservoir and suggests that the erosion was not an important factor. In fact, Dr. Griffith’s work (C3-Release from Maswood Well M1212 following the Operator’s Assistance) [2, K. Griffith, Emulsion Ass. Technol., 26 (11), 3191-3202, 2011] shows that the BOP data indicate that simple models can be formulated that do not include erosion and can still support the BOP pressure data. In an attempt to account for some time period where the flow may have been reduced due to initially small flow paths, the integrated presented within the DOE-NNSA Flow Analysis report assigned zero flow for the first two days of the incident when the well was flowing at a high rate to atmospheric conditions on the rig floor. I do not believe that erosion had a significant effect on overall flow from the well past the second day of the blowout.