

From: Christopher J. Murphy
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 10:25 PM
To: Christopher J. Murphy
Subject: WWCI Support of Macondo blowout

David

I talked this evening to Harry Thierens and then later to Gordon Berrill (Senior VP Operations, HSSE & Engineering). Both confirmed the following:

1. DD II rig has been reassigned to drilling the second relief well. Therefore, the capping option has been made dormant.
2. The LMRP will not be removed and there are no plans to revisit this decision at this time.
3. The primary options is to install the riser containment tool and take as much flow as possible directly to the Enterprise.
4. The Q4000 will be used to take as much flow as practical back through the top kill manifold to a flare system that is currently not installed. The Q4000 has no production test equipment.
5. BP have analyzed the top kill data and it shows that the geometry of the flow path is still unknown. However, one option that was suggested by the BP analysts relates to the possibility of the 16" being compromised. Harry stated that this was not something BP wanted to have public since it had not been proved. I suggested that he should tell his BP team the same message. I explained that WWCI have always supported this position of not stating anything that cannot be proved. I stated that we fully understood the ramifications to BP. The poor communication within BP has always been an issue but this evening the containment team were informed by one of the Containment Team senior personnel that the 16" had been compromised. I was not involved in the conversation but suffice to say BP stand to lose a great deal if this message were to get to the public domain in an uncontrolled manner. I told Cargol not to discuss or repeat this information as it was not a proven fact nor had it been made public by BP senior management.

Regards

Chris Murphy
Wild Well Control, Inc.
GM Engineering - Marine
cmurphy@wildwell.com • www.wildwell.com
281.784.4700 phone • 281.784.4750 fax
"Experience Makes The Difference"



The contents of this message are provided for informational purposes only. Wild Well Control, Inc. does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the contents and assumes no liability whatsoever for loss or damage arising out of recipient's reliance on or use of the information provided herein. This message contains confidential information and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately contact the sender by return e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

From: Pat Campbell
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 12:31
To: Freddy L. Gebhardt
Cc: Terry L. Foster; Bill Mahler; Christopher J. Murphy; David Barnett; David W Moody; William Burch
Subject: Re: WWCI Support of Top Kill

A lot of disappointing and negative feedback regarding numerous issues associated with the project, and more particularly with the Top Kill operations.

All in all, with so many talented and strong-willed persons involved it isn't too suprising. When things do not go well, this is seen very routinely on well control jobs.

By no means do we underestimate the current and future potential impact of all this, we are more than well aware of the negative connotations associated with the discussion points.

I want you all to know that I (and others) will make every attempt to become involved and to minimize this BS and keep our focus on the forward operations.

I know from personal experience that it's not easy to do. I want everyone associated with the project to know that your management recognizes intimately the contributions that have been made up to the present, and that will continue to be made in the future.

Pat Campbell
Executive Vice President
Technology Solutions Group
Superior Energy Services, Inc.
Phone: 281.784.4700 - Fax: 281.784.4750

Sent from my IPAD

On May 29, 2010, at 11:10 AM, "Freddy L. Gebhardt" <FGebhardt@wildwell.com> wrote:

Terry we have been discussing this through Fred Ng via B Burch since last night and have some updates this morning as well. Needless to say this is disappointing for us and will be proactive in addressing these issues with BP management sometime this weekend.

Keep us updated and I will do the same.

Freddy L. Gebhardt

Wild Well Control, Inc.

President

fgebhardt@wildwell.com • www.wildwell.com

281.784.4700 phone • 281.784.4750 fax

"Experience Makes The Difference"

<image001.jpg>

From: Terry L. Foster
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 10:19 AM
To: Freddy L. Gebhardt; Pat Campbell; Bill Mahler
Subject: FW: WWCI Support of Top Kill

I talked to Chris this morning and Mazzella is not including WWC in any of the decisions but now is trying to blame the failure of the "Top Kill" and other problems on WWC.

The perception within BP is WWC is not doing our "normally good" job.

I think this is turning BAD for WWC quickly but we are not being invited to the party to help make the decisions.

Mazzella is looking for an escape goat and he has chosen WWC.

I will forward more emails so you can get the flavor of what I am trying to convey.

Foster

From: Christopher J. Murphy
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2010 9:44 AM
To: Terry L. Foster
Subject: Fwd: WWCI Support of Top Kill

Sent from my iPhone

Chris Murphy

GM Engineering - Marine

Wild Well Control Inc.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Christopher J. Murphy" <cmurphy@wildwell.com>
Date: May 29, 2010 8:31:19 CDT
To: David Barnett <dbarnett@wildwell.com>
Cc: William Burch <bburch@wildwell.com>, David W Moody
<dmoody@wildwell.com>
Subject: Re: WWCI Support of Top Kill

David

Participation has been as much as is humanly possible given the untenable situation. Personally i have never been invited to a decision making meeting which makes me very very uncomfortable. One can only bend over backwards so far before it goes beyond a joke.

This evening one of the senior well engineers asked me for feedback on what I thought of the top kill operation. Needless to say I explained very clearly that if WWCI had been in our normal role (part if the decision making team) we would have used all resources available and explained precisely what was going to be attempted. We would have then executed the program as agreed and involved the team in lessons learned prior to the next operation. He agreed that the current operational control mechanism was not working at all and he was frustrated by being marginalized.

This feeling is prevalent throughout the top kill team including very senior personnel.

I have had multiple coversations with the top kill team lead John Smart but even he is not involved in all the "decision making meetings".

Mark Patteson is really feeling the pressure and he opened a door with our meeting this morning. It is my honest belief that if we do not act now to stop the cancer we will lose credibility with BP but more importantly the industry.

Regards

Sent from my iPhone

Chris Murphy

GM Engineering - Marine

Wild Well Control Inc.

On May 29, 2010, at 7:51, "David Barnett" <dbarnett@wildwell.com> wrote:

Chris & Bill

I need you to give me an quick idea of your participation, or lack thereof, in the past few days of activity. Have you been invited into real time monitoring of operations? Have you been provided an avenue to give feedback? Have either of you had any meaningful discussions with any of the decision makers?

Bill – you said something the other day about being told that you and Kurt Mix were told that your input was not needed?

Thanks,

David Barnett

Wild Well Control, Inc.

Vice President, Engineering Services

dbarnett@wildwell.com • www.wildwell.com

281.784.4700 phone • 281.784.4750 fax

"Experience Makes The Difference"

<image002.jpg>